**Housing Discrimination**

**Sample Multiple Choice Questions #4**

**Answers and Comments**

**Correct answers in Times Roman bold.** *My explanations are in Times Roman Italics.*

**(18)** Which of the following characterizations of these cases is correct*?*

(a) To succeed, a plaintiff must show that the complex had never rented to individuals belonging to he relevant protected category. *This was not true in Asbury.*

(b) Evidence of the use of testers conclusively shows the defendants acted with discriminatory intent. *This was not true in Frazier.*

**(c) In *Frazier,* that the landlord’s marriage was arguably “mixed” was strong evidence favoring the defendant.**

(d) In *Cato,* the preference of the landlord’s wife to exclude unmarried couples supported treating the case as requiring mixed motive analysis. *The court says this was irrelevant because her husband made all decisions about which applicants to select.*

**(19)** According to the court in *Pinchback,* all of the following facts supported the plaintiff, **except**

**(a) The racial make up of the city of Baltimore. *The court never mentions this.***

(b) The real estate agent who spoke with the plaintiff had worked with the defendants in the past. *Th9s made her a reliable source of information about the comples.*

(c) The discriminatory statements of defendant’s chairman of the board.

(d) The defendant’s history regarding rentals to African-Americans.