**Housing Discrimination**

**Sample Multiple Choice Questions #4:**

***Asbury Frazier Pinchback Cato***

**(18)** Which of the following characterizations of these cases is correct*?*

(a) To succeed, a plaintiff must show that the complex had never rented to individuals belonging to he relevant protected category.

(b) Evidence of the use of testers conclusively shows the defendants acted with discriminatory intent.

(c) In *Frazier,* that the landlord’s marriage was arguably “mixed” was strong evidence favoring the defendant.

(d) In *Cato,* the preference of the landlord’s wife to exclude unmarried couples supported treating the case as requiring mixed motive analysis.

**(19)** According to the court in *Pinchback,* all of the following facts supported the plaintiff, **except**

(a) The racial make up of the city of Baltimore.

(b) The real estate agent who spoke with the plaintiff had worked with the defendants in the past.

(c) The discriminatory statements of defendant’s chairman of the board.

(d) The defendant’s history regarding rentals to African-Americans.